No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/7392.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Delhi Road, Hissar:-

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK.

Reference No. 383/78

SHRI RAM DHARI WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JINDAL STRIPS LTD., DELHI ROAD, HISSAR.

Present :-

Sh. Tek Chand Gupta for the workman.

Sh. V.P. Gupta for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/HSR/82-78/56405, dated 18th December, 1973, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Hissar and its workman Shri Ram Dhari to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:-

"Whether the termination of services of Sh. Ram Dhari was justified and in order? If not, to

what relief is he entitled? %

On receipt of order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed photostat copy of settlement, dated 7th February, 1979 arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial

Divides Act, 1947. As per settlement the management agreed to pay gratuity, bonus, earned leave, wages and wages outstanding, if any, to the workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement on John April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Sh. Tek Chand Gupta, authorised representative who is General Secretary to Mazdoor Ekta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement:-

"The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all other claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatement by the management and therefore

does not want to pursue this reference. The reference may be filed."

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman I answer the award that the termination of services of Sh. Ram Dhari was justified and in order and he is not entitled to any further relief.

Dated, the 23rd June, 1979.

BABU RAM GOYAL, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

Endst. No. 1524, dated 26th June, 1979.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL, Presiding Officer. Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

11(112)-3Lab-79/7393.— In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M's Jindal Strips, Ltd., Delhi Road, Hissar:-

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK.

Reference No. 381/78 SHRI BANSI LAL WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JINDAL STRIPS LTD., DELHI ROAD, HISSAR.

Present :-

Sh. Tek Chand Gupta for the workman.

Sh. V.P. Gupta for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/HSR/82-78/56393, dated 18th December, 1978, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Hissar and its workman Shri Bansi Lal to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:-

Whether the termination of services of Sh. Bansi Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed photo-stat copy of settlement, dated 7th February, 1979 arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per settlement the management eagreed to pay gratuity, bonus, earned leave, wages and other wages outstanding, if any, to the workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement

on 30th April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Sh. Tek Chand Gupta, authorised representative who is General Secretary to Mazdoor Ekta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement:—

"The gworkman has received his compensation for termin ation of his services and all other claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatement by the management and therefore does not want to pursue this reference. The reference may be filed."

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman, I answer the award that the termination of services of Sh. Bansi Lal was justified and in order and he is not entitled to any further relief.

Dated, the 23rd June, 1979.

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana.

Endst. No. 1523, dated 26th June, 1979.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/7394.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Delhi Road, Hissar:—

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 380/78

SHRI RAM NACHHATAR WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. JINDAL STRIPS LTD., DELHI ROAD, HISSAR

Present:-

Shri Tek Chand Gupta for the workman. Shri V.P. Gupta for the management.

## AWARD

By order No. 1D/HSR/62-78/56378, dated 18th December, 1978, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Jindal Strips Ltd., Hissar and its workman Shri Ram Nachhatar to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Nachhatar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed photostat copy of settlement, dated 7th February, 1979 arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per settlement the management agreed to pay gratuity, bonus, earned leave, wages and other wages outstanding, if any, to the workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement on 30th April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Shri Tek Chand Gupta, authorised representative who is General Secretary to Mazdoor Ekta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement:—

"The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all other claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatement by the management and therefore does not want to pursue this reference. The reference may be filed."

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman, I answer the award that the termination of services of Shri Ram Nachhatar was justified and in order and he is not entitled to any further relief.

Dated the 23rd June, 1979.

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,.

Endorsement No. 1522, dated the 26th June, 1979.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak,